



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,452	06/09/2005	Yixian Qin	788-20 PCT	8706
28249 7590 10/09/2007 DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. SUITE 702 UNIONDALE, NY 11553			EXAMINER BOR, HELENE CATHERINE	
			ART UNIT 3768	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/09/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,452	QIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helene Bor	3768	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner recognizes the applicant's amendments to claims 9, 22 and 25. Under examination are the original and amended claims 1-30.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's corrections, filed 06/15/2007 with respect to the drawings, are accepted. All objections to the drawings are withdrawn.
2. Applicant's corrections, filed 06/15/2007 with respect to the claims, are accepted. All objections to the claims are withdrawn.
3. The declaration filed on 06/15/2007 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Mourad et al. (US Patent No. 6,875,176) reference.
4. Applicant's arguments, see page 11 second paragraph, filed 06/15/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is made in view of Kantorovuch'019 (US Patent No. 6,221,019 B1) and further in view of Fatemi'98 (Fatemi, M.; Greenleaf, J.F., "Coherent ultrasound stimulated acoustic emission imaging," *Ultrasonics Symposium, 1997. Proceedings., 1997 IEEE*, vol.2, no., pp.1411-1414 vol.2, 5-8 Oct 1997).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 3768

6. Claim 1-4, 10-11 & 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kantorovuch'019 (US Patent No. 6,221,019 B1) and further in view of Fatemi'98 (Fatemi, M.; Greenleaf, J.F., "Coherent ultrasound stimulated acoustic emission imaging," *Ultrasonics Symposium, 1997. Proceedings., 1997 IEEE*, vol.2, no., pp.1411-1414 vol.2, 5-8 Oct 1997).

Claim 1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches ultrasonic system for determining at least one property of bone (Abstract). Also Kantorovuch'019 teaches the use of a processor for determining tissue properties based on the received ultrasound signal (Figure 12, Element 455). Kantorovuch'019 fails to teach confocal transducers. However, Fatemi'98 teaches a system for using confocal transducers to receive and transmit the ultrasound for determining tissue properties (Page 1411, Left Column, Introduction). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 to result in an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary).

Claim 2/1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches the bone sample is a bone in a live human being (Col. 3, Line 55-57).

Claim 3/1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches a system capable of a resolution equal to approximately 0.5 mm (Col. 15, Line 31-33). Kantorovuch'019 fails to teach confocal transducers. However, Fatemi'98 teaches a system wherein the confocal point of the transmitting and receiving transducers (Figure 1 & Page 1411, Left Column, Introduction). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine

the teachings of Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 to result in an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary).

Claim 4/1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches a system wherein the transmitting transducer emits ultrasonic signals at a frequency on the order of tens of megahertz (Col. 15, Line 28-30).

Claim 10/1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches a system wherein the at least one ultrasonic parameter determined for the at least one point of the sample are ultrasonic velocity (UV) (Col. 3, Line 52-54) and a measure of ultrasonic attenuation (UA) (Col. 19, Line 1-7).

Claim 11/10/1: Formulas and equations in the abstract are not patentable subject matter (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility). The following equation as claimed, $UV_{(x,y,z)} = v_m * w / (w - V_m * \Delta T)$, is not taught by any of the references verbatim. However, Kantorovuch'019 teaches a system wherein ultrasound velocity (Abstract) at the at least one point of the sample is calculated by the processor (Figure 12, Element 455). Kantorovuch'019 teaches using the time delay, the thickness of the bone and the velocity of the ultrasound in the medium (Col. 13, Line 28-50, Col. 17, Line 63 – Col. 18, Line 33, & Claim 4).

Claim 29: Kantorovuch'019 teaches an ultrasonic system for determining at least one property of materials (Col. 4, Line 60-64). Also, Kantorovuch'019 teaches the use of a processor for determining material properties based on the received ultrasound signal (Figure 12, Element 455). Kantorovuch'019 fails to teach confocal transducers.

However, Fatemi'98 teaches a system for using confocal transducers to receive and transmit the ultrasound for determining material properties (Figure 1 & Page 1411, Left Column, Introduction). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 to result in an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary).

7. Claim 5-9, 12- 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kantorovuch'019 (US Patent No. 6,221,019 B1), in view of Fatemi'98 et al. (Fatemi, M.; Greenleaf, J.F., "Coherent ultrasound stimulated acoustic emission imaging," *Ultrasonics Symposium, 1997. Proceedings., 1997 IEEE* , vol.2, no., pp.1411-1414 vol.2, 5-8 Oct 1997) and further in view of Mazess'029 (US Patent No. 5,840,029).

Claim 5/1: Kantorovuch'019 fails to teach the confocal transducers and three dimensional scanning stage. However, Fatemi'98 teaches a system for using confocal transducers to receive and transmit the ultrasound for determining material properties (Figure 1 & Page 1411, Left Column, Introduction). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 to result in an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary). In addition, Mazess'029 teaches using the system for three dimensional grid (x, y, and z plane) and moving the transmitting and receiving transducers in three dimensions (Col. 27, Line 41-44 & Col. 27, Line 61 – Col. 28, Line 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and

Art Unit: 3768

Kantorovuch'019 in order provide the opportunity to use extra data outside the region of interest to ensure that the same region of interest is measure in the patient's heel over a series of measurements made at different times (Col. 28, Line 18-22).

Claim 6/5/1: Kantorovuch'019 fails to teach the confocal transducers. However, Fatemi'98 teaches a system for using confocal transducers to receive and transmit the ultrasound for determining material properties (Figure 1 & Page 1411, Left Column, Introduction). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 to result in an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary). Mazess'029 teaches the processor initiating an ultrasonic signal from the transmitting transducers that is transmitted through the bone sample and received by the receiving transducer (Col. 5, Line 40-44). Mazess'029 teaches the processor receiving a signal reflecting one or more measures of the received ultrasonic signal (Col. 5, Line 40-44). Mazess'029 teaches the processor determining at least one ultrasonic parameter for each point in the sample based upon the transmitted and received ultrasonic signals (Col. 5, Line 50-57). Mazess'029 teaches the processor further determining the at least one bone property at each point of the sample based upon the at least one ultrasonic parameter for the point (Col. 5, Line 50-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 so that the system can carry out its operations (Col. 6, Line 1-2)

Claim 7/6/5/1 & 8/6/5/1: Kantorovuch'019 and Fatemi'98 fail to teach in detail about the type of scanning the inventions are capable of performing. However, Mazess'029 teaches the three dimensional scanning stage (Col. 27, Line 40-44) wherein it is able of discrete scans, continuous scans and other methods of use that the clinician desires can be selected by a selectable switch (Col. 6, Line 32-67). Mazess'029 thus teaches a functional equivalent to the claimed invention.

Claim 9/5/1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches system wherein each point in the bone sample can have better resolution than 1 mm (Col. 15, Line 29-33) and scanning a small part of the body smaller than 1 mm (Claim 95). Kantorovuch'019 teaches a functional equivalent system capable of the measurements claimed by the applicant.

Claim 12/10/1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches the measure of UA is one selected from the group of broadband ultrasonic attenuation (BUA) (Col. 5, Line 18-27). While Kantorovuch'019 touches on ultrasound attenuation, the focus of the teachings is measuring ultrasound velocity. Kantorovuch'019 and Fatemi'98 fail to mention an ultrasound attenuation number (ATT). ATT represents the energy decay attenuation as a function of material density as defined by the applicant on Page 27, 2nd Paragraph. Mazess'029 goes into detail regarding the applicant defined ATT, although Mazess'029 does not use the term ATT. Mazess'029 states that ultrasound attenuation is dependent on bone mineral density and the integrity being tested (Col. 9, Line 26-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order determine the quality of cancellous bone matrix (Col. 9, Line 54-56).

Claim 13/12/10/1: Formulas and equations in the abstract are not patentable subject matter (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility). The following equation as claimed, $UAC_{(x,y,z)}(f) = 20 \text{ Log} [(FFT(f_{ref}(t))) / (FFT(f_{bone}(t)))]$, is not taught by any of the references verbatim. However, Mazess'029 teaches a system where the BUA at the at least one point (x,y,z) of the sample is calculated by the processor (Figure 6, Element 38) as the slope of the linear section of the ultrasound attenuation coefficient function, $UAC_{(x,y,z)}(f)$, where $UAC_{(x,y,z)}(f)$ is calculated from the fast fourier transform (FFT) of frequency f (as a function of time) for the received ultrasound signal $f_{bone}(t)$ as passed through the bone sample and a reference (Col. 11, Line 10-16). Ultrasound signal $f_{ref}(t)$ received without the sample positioned between the transducers in accordance with the equation (Col. 9, Line 8-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order compare the characteristics of the waveform in frequency response and attenuation through the subject compared to the standard (without the sample) for analysis (Col. 9, Line 18-25). In addition, Mazess'029 teaches using the system for three dimensional grid (x, y, and z plane) (Col. 27, Line 40-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order provide the opportunity to use extra data outside the region of interest to ensure that the same region of interest is measure in the patient's heel over a series of measurements made at different times (Col. 28, Line 18-22).

Claim 14/12/10/1: Formulas and equations in the abstract are not patentable subject matter (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility). The following equation as claimed, $ATT_{(x,y,z)} = 10 \cdot \text{LOG} [(\text{energy of reference signal})_{(x,y,z)} / (\text{energy of bone signal})_{(x,y,z)}]$, is not taught by any of the references verbatim. However, Mazess'029 teaches a system wherein the ATT at the at least one point (x,y,z) of the sample is calculated by the processor from the energy of the received ultrasound signal as passed through the bone sample and the energy of a reference ultrasound signal received without the sample positioned between the transducers (Col. 9, Line 5-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order compare the characteristics of the waveform in frequency response and attenuation through the subject compared to the standard (without the sample) for analysis (Col. 9, Line 18-25).

Claim 15/12/10/1: Kantorovuch'019 teaches where at least one bone property determined at the at least one point is bone mineral density (BMD) (Col. 2, Line 9-11 & Col. 17, Line 45-50).

Claim 16/15/12/10/1: Formulas and equations in the abstract are not patentable subject matter (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility). The following equations as claimed, $BMD = e + f \cdot UV + g \cdot BUA$, $BMD = a + b \cdot UV + c \cdot BUA + d \cdot UV^2$, $BMD = u + v \cdot UV + w \cdot ATT$, are not taught by any of the references verbatim. However, Kantorovuch'019 teaches the measure of BMD can be determined from broadband ultrasonic attenuation (BUA) (Col. 5, Line 18-27) and ultrasound velocity (Col. 2, Line 9-11 & Col. 17, Line 45-50). While Kantorovuch'019 touches on ultrasound attenuation, the focus of the

Art Unit: 3768

teachings is measuring ultrasound velocity. Kantorovuch'019 and Fatemi'98 fail to mention an ultrasound attenuation number (ATT). ATT represents the energy decay attenuation as a function of material density as defined by the applicant on Page 27, 2nd Paragraph. Mazess'029 goes into detail regarding the applicant defined ATT, although Mazess'029 does not use the term ATT. Mazess'029 states that ultrasound attenuation is dependent on bone mineral density and the integrity being tested (Col. 9, Line 26-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order determine the quality of cancellous bone matrix (Col. 9, Line 54-56).

Claim 17/16/15/12/10/1: Constants are not patentable material in the abstract (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility) and the following constants are not used verbatim in any of the references. However, Mazess'029 teaches using linear regression constants predetermined by conducting a regression analysis between measurements of BUA on bone specimens and BMD measurements on the bone specimens using conventional analysis (Col. 11, Line 9-16). In addition, Mazess'029 teaches the use of UV (Col. 19, Line 17-49) and ATT (Col. 9, Line 26-54) for determining the BMD. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order utilize a method that is quick and free of radiation for the evaluation of bone integrity (Col. 19, Line 46-49).

Claim 18/12/10/1: Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 fail to go into details regarding stiffness of bone. Mazess'029 teaches a system wherein the at least one

Art Unit: 3768

bone property determined at the at least one point is Stiffness (Col. 27, Line 30-40).

The applicant defines Stiffness as "From the tissue level regions of bone that experience relatively high stiffness tend more towards cortical bone. Regions of bone experiencing low Stiffness tend to be more trabecular" (Page 3). From the applicant's admission, stiffness is related to the amount of either the cortical or trabecular bone.

While Mazess'029 does not explicitly use the word stiffness, Mazess'029 does teach a system capable of measuring both the trabecular and cortical bone and both reading providing distant data about the bone (Col. 27, Line 38-39). Mazess'029 also cites an article by Lees stating "[V]arious studies involving attenuation and speed of sound measurements in both cortical and spongy (cancellous or trabecular) bone....The transit time of an acoustic signal through a bone member therefore are proportional to the bone density" (Col. 2, Line 3-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order have a useful parameter in the diagnosis of osteoporosis or as a predictor of possible fracture risk (Col. 2, Line 22-23).

Claim 19/18/12/10/1: Formulas and equations in the abstract are not patentable subject matter (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility). The following equations as claimed, $\text{Stiffness} = l + m * UV + n * BUA$, $\text{Stiffness} = h + i * UV + j * BUA + k * (UV)^2$, $\text{Stiffness} = p + q * UV + r * ATT$, are not taught by any of the references verbatim. However, Kantorovuch'019 teaches the measure of broadband ultrasonic attenuation (BUA) (Col. 5, Line 18-27) and ultrasound velocity (Col. 2, Line 9-11 & Col. 17, Line 45-50). While Kantorovuch'019 touches on ultrasound attenuation, the focus of the

teachings is measuring ultrasound velocity. Kantorovuch'019 and Fatemi'98 fail to mention an ultrasound attenuation number (ATT) and stiffness. ATT represents the energy decay attenuation as a function of material density as defined by the applicant on Page 27, 2nd Paragraph. Mazess'029 goes into detail regarding the applicant defined ATT, although Mazess'029 does not use the term ATT. Mazess'029 states that ultrasound attenuation is dependent on bone mineral density and the integrity being tested (Col. 9, Line 26-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order determine the quality of cancellous bone matrix (Col. 9, Line 54-56). In addition, Mazess'029 teaches a system capable of determining stiffness (Col. 27, Line 38-39). Mazess'029 does teach a system capable of measuring both the trabecular and cortical bone and both reading providing distant data about the bone (Col. 27, Line 38-39). Mazess'029 also cites an article by Lees stating "[V]arious studies involving attenuation and speed of sound measurements in both cortical and spongy (cancellous or trabecular) bone....The transit time of an acoustic signal through a bone member therefore are proportional to the bone density" (Col. 2, Line 3-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order have a useful parameter in the diagnosis of osteoporosis or as a predictor of possible fracture risk (Col. 2, Line 22-23).

Claim 20/19/18/12/10/1: Constants are not patentable material in the abstract (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility) and the following constants are not used verbatim in any of the references. However, Mazess'029 teaches using linear

regression constants predetermined by conducting a regression analysis between measurements of BUA on bone specimens and BMD measurements on the bone specimens using conventional analysis (Col. 11, Line 9-16). In addition, Mazess'029 teaches the use of UV (Col. 19, Line 17-49), stiffness (Col. 27, Line 38-39) and ATT (Col. 9, Line 26-54) for determining the BMD. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order utilize a method that is quick and free of radiation for the evaluation of bone integrity (Col. 19, Line 46-49).

Claim 21: Kantorovuch'019 teaches a method for determining at least one property of materials (Col. 4, Line 60-64). Kantorovuch'019 fails to teach confocal transducers. However, Fatemi'98 teaches a system for using confocal transducers to receive and transmit the ultrasound for determining material properties (Figure 1 & Page 1411, Left Column, Introduction). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 to result in an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary). Mazess'029 teaches positioning a material sample so that the ultrasonic signal passes through the material sample and such that the point of interest of the material sample lies within the ultrasonic signal (Col. 8, Line 67 – Col. 9 Line 4). Mazess'029 teaches receiving the ultrasonic signal after it passes through the material sample (Col. 5, Line 40-44). Mazess'029 teaches determining at least one ultrasonic parameter for each point in the sample based upon the transmitted and received ultrasonic signals (Col. 5, Line 50-57).

Mazess'029 teaches the processor further determining the at least one bone property at each point of the sample based upon the at least one ultrasonic parameter for the point (Col. 5, Line 50-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 so that the system can carry out its operations (Col. 6, Line 1-2).

Claim 22/21: Kantorovuch'019 and Mazess'029 fail to teach the confocal point. However, Fatemi'98 teaches the confocal point (Figure 4 & Col. 23, Line 51-54). Fatemi'98 teaches the confocal point being not greater than approximately 0.5 mm (Page 1412, Right Column, Simulation). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order to provide an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary).

Claim 23/22/21: Kantorovuch'019 and Mazess'029 fail to teach the confocal point. However, Fatemi'98 teaches the confocal point an acoustic emission signal that is sensitive to tissue composition and can be used for imaging and characterization tool (Page 1414, Right Column, Summary). Kantorovuch'019 teaches repositioning the point to a new point of interest in the material sample (Col. 11, Line 21-45). Kantorovuch'019 teaches repeating steps for the new point of interest in the material sample (Col. 11, Line 40-45).

Claim 24/23/22/21: Kantorovuch'019 teaches repeating steps for the new point of interest in the material sample (Col. 11, Line 40-45) but fails to teach the steps in a

Art Unit: 3768

volume. However, Mazess'029 teaches the array of point of interest comprising a volume (Col. 28, Line 58-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order provide the opportunity to use extra data outside the region of interest to ensure that the same region of interest is measure in the patient's heel over a series of measurements made at different times (Col. 28, Line 18-22).

Claim 25/24/23/22/21: Kantorovuch'019 teaches a method wherein scanning a small part of the body smaller than 1 mm (Claim 95). Kantorovuch'019 teaches a functional equivalent method capable of the measurements claimed by the applicant. Kantorovuch'019 fails to teach the points in the array. However, However, Mazess'029 teaches the array of point of interest comprising a volume (Col. 28, Line 58-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order provide the opportunity to use extra data outside the region of interest to ensure that the same region of interest is measure in the patient's heel over a series of measurements made at different times (Col. 28, Line 18-22).

Claim 26/22: Kantorovuch'019 teaches the determining the ultrasonic velocity (UV) (Abstract) and a measure of ultrasonic attenuation (UA) for the point of interest (Col. 5, Line 18-27).

Claim 27/22: Kantorovuch'019 teaches determining the at least one material property at the point of interest of the sample comprises determining at least one of elasticity, density, shear strength and tensile strength (Col. 21, Line 45-47).

Claim 28/22: Kantorovuch'019 teaches the material sample comprises a bone sample (Col. 3, Line 54-57).

Claim 30: Kantorovuch'019 teaches a method determining the broadband ultrasound attenuation (BUA) of an ultrasound signal passing through the point of the sample (Col. 5, Line 18-27). Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 fail to go into details regarding stiffness of bone. Mazess'029 teaches a system wherein the at least one bone property determined at the at least one point is Stiffness (Col. 27, Line 30-40). The applicant defines Stiffness as "From the tissue level regions of bone that experience relatively high stiffness tend more towards cortical bone. Regions of bone experiencing low Stiffness tend to be more trabecular" (Page 3). From the applicant's admission, stiffness is related to the amount of either the cortical or trabecular bone. While Mazess'029 does not explicitly use the word stiffness, Mazess'029 does teach a system capable of measuring both the trabecular and cortical bone and both reading providing distant data about the bone (Col. 27, Line 38-39). Mazess'029 also cites an article by Lees stating "[V]arious studies involving attenuation and speed of sound measurements in both cortical and spongy (cancellous or trabecular) bone....The transit time of an acoustic signal through a bone member therefore are proportional to the bone density" (Col. 2, Line 3-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 in order have a useful parameter in the diagnosis of osteoporosis or as a predictor of possible fracture risk (Col. 2, Line 22-23). Formulas and equations in the abstract are not patentable subject matter (MPEP 2106 Patent Subject Matter Eligibility). The

Art Unit: 3768

following equation as claimed, Bone Quality Index = $0.7 \text{ BUA}/\beta + 0.3 \text{ Stiffness}/\tau$, is not taught by any of the references verbatim. However, Mazess'029 teaches developing a numerical value (index value) indicative of the integrity and mineral density of a bone (Col. 19, Line 17-30). Mazess'029 teaches using BUA (Col. 19, Line 39-49) and stiffness (Col. 27, Line 38-39) to evaluate bone quality (Col. 19, Line 17-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 for reproducible accuracy (Col. 19, Line 31-39). Mazess'029 fails to teach normalization. However, Kantorovuch'019 teaches normalization for use in calculations (Col. 18, Line 1-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mazess'029, Fatemi'98 and Kantorovuch'019 for determining the constant for human bones (Col. 18, Line 10-13).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- A. Chiabrera, Alessandro et al. Ultrasonic bone assessment method and apparatus. US 5785656 A.
- B. Faulker, Kenneth G. et al. Bone densitometer providing assessment of absolute fracture risk. US 6740041 B2.
- C. Hoff, Lars et al. Ultrasound measurement techniques for bone analysis. US 6899680 B2
- D. Ohtomo, Naoki. Bone assessment apparatus. US 6095979 A.

Art Unit: 3768

E. Pratt, Jr., George W. Method for determining in vivo, bone strength. US

RE32782 E.

F. Sarvazyan; Armen P. et al. Method and device for multi-parametric

ultrasonic assessment of bone conditions. US 6468215 B1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Bor whose telephone number is 571-272-2947.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571-272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

hcb



ELENI MANTIS MERCADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER